

AD8-012_Amendment 2

Amendments and Questions and Responses

Amendments

1. Proposal due date has been changed to February 8th 2007, 3:00PM, MST
2. Special Instructions to Offerors Section 1.5 Written Questions has been updated to:

1.5.1 As the deadline for submitting questions is past please be advised that the State will not respond to any more questions.
3. Offer and Acceptance – Certification: the following has been added

5. The OFFEROR received, read and agrees to be bound by AD8-012; AD8-012 Amendment 1 and questions and responses and AD8-012 Amendment 2 and questions and responses.
4. Scope of Work Section 2.2.1.13 has been changed to:

2.2.1.13 Department of Corrections. Arizona Department of Corrections; Arizona Department of Administration or any agency designated to administer the resultant contract.
5. Scope of Work Section 2.2.1.57 has been added:

2.2.1.57 Department of Administration: Arizona Department of Administration; Arizona Department of Corrections; or any agency designated to administer the resultant contract.

Questions and Responses

1. Page 83 Section 7.5 The section references year 2000. Since the Millennium is well past is this required?

RESPONSE #1 - This condition has no force and effect.

2. Page 52, Section 2.13.15: The RFP indicates that the offeror shall provide the name of the telephone system vendor and a description of the system, services and rates. Section 2.13.15.1 states that the contractor shall utilize the telephone system and vendor used by the Department of Corrections. Given that, is the offeror still required to respond to section 2.13.15 and provide the information requested? If so, please provide the name of the vendor, a description of the system, services and rates used by the ADC.

RESPONSE #2

Yes, the contractor is required to use the vendor that the Department of Corrections contracts with to provide the same type phone system. The department is currently under contract DC010009 as identified below. The current system is analog. The Department recently solicited for a new inmate phone system. The new system specifications requested a digital system. The Department is still in the evaluation stages of the request for proposal for the new digital system so no information is available at this time.

Upon contract award of the new system all Department facilities and contracted in state facilities will be part of this actual contract and were included in the solicitation. The awarded contractor from this solicitation AD8-012 will also eventually be part of this new contract.

**Current Contract No. DC010009
Global Tel*Link
2609 Cameron Street
Mobile, Alabama 01581
Howard Tharp, Regional Account Manager
Phone (206) 297-8319**

3. Page 22 Section 2.9.7 through 2.9.7.3.4: Does the ADOA expect that offerors provide response plans with the proposal or are the plans due subsequent to award, but prior to commencement of services?

RESPONSE #3 - NO. The Response to this is in Section 2.9.13 which requires Procedures and Post Orders 90 days prior to acceptance of first inmate.

4. Page 32, Sections 2.10.13.3: If an offeror is proposing an existing facility that will be renovated and expanded, how shall the offeror base their purchase option price?

RESPONSE #4 - Vendors should include the cost of the facility and required renovations.

5. Page 1 of 4, Question Form

SECTION 2.1.8, PAGE 5 of 117, LINE NUMBER(S) N/A

The RFP states “ ... it is the desire of the Department of Corrections to optimize the development of third party Inmate Work Contracts between Arizona Correctional Industries (ACI) and private companies. Offerors shall provide, in their submitted proposal, letters of interest from private companies expressing their interest in engaging in Inmate Work Contracts through ACI. Preference will be given to an Offeror who submits letters of interest demonstrating community interest in possible third party Inmate Work Contracts.”

a. Private companies who utilize inmate labor must do so in accordance with federal PIE program guidelines. Are we correct in our understanding that the Department of Corrections is looking for Offerors to submit letters from private companies expressing their interest in establishing a PIE program in association with ACI (under applicable federal guidelines)?

RESPONSE #5A - Yes, Prison Industries Enhancement Certified Programs (PIECP) are required for labor contracts with private companies that produce products that are introduced into interstate commerce. Service contracts, agricultural products and products that remain in the state of Arizona are not required to be treated as PIECP programs. These are called labor contracts and have different requirements.

Letter's regarding PIECP or labor contracts will be both welcomed and acceptable as part of this solicitation.

b. What is meant by “community interest in possible third party Inmate Work Contracts”? Does this refer to letters of interest from local communities to utilize inmate work crews on local projects?

RESPONSE #5B - Yes. As with private partners we are interested in inmates having the opportunity to reach full programming levels in the next two years. Work is an important part of that formula for success. Therefore, we are interested in partnering with public entities in or around state prison complexes to perform public service and get Arizona inmates as much work experience as is possible.

c. Since this section is a critical component of the solicitation and evaluation of proposals, are private vendors allowed to make direct contact with officials of Arizona Correctional Industries?

RESPONSE #5C - Yes, however prior to an award ACI can only responds to questions with regard to private and public partnership in general terms.

6. Page 2 of 4, Question Form

SECTION 2.14.1.7, PAGE 52 of 117, LINE NUMBER(S) N/A

This section of the RFP states, in part "...The staffing plan shall include five (5) hours of on-site physician time for each 100 inmates housed in the secure Contract Facility."

May this requirement be met, in part, with a mid-level practitioner, i.e. Physician Assistant or Nurse Practitioner?

RESPONSE #6 - A mid-level practitioner may substitute for the physician. This requirement is met when the physician:

- 1. Directly supervises the mid-level practitioner and is directly involved with the practitioner's provision of patient care.**
- 2. Provides at a minimum 50% of the direct patient care.**
- 3. Is responsible for all medical service programs within the contracted prison.**

7. SECTION 2.15, PAGE 56 of 117, LINE NUMBER(S) N/A

The following information/questions are submitted regarding the Physical Plant requirements in the RFP documents. Our specific questions are regarding content of the Arizona Department of Corrections Technical Manual Physical Plant Standards (401-TPPS) with an effective revision date of 10/10/06:

1.4 FACILITY PARAMETERS; subsection 1.4.1.2 and sub-subsection 1.6.10.1.1; pages 4 and 25 of 44

The first sentence of Subsection 1.4.1.2 Facility Gross Square Footage states:

The minimum gross square footage of the entire complex or facility based on required building square footages, shall be a minimum of 240 sq ft/inmate or 250 sq. ft, in an enclosed recreation building as required per 1.6.10 or the vendor is to provide documentation on the out-sourced services and provide justification for reduction of square footages.

Sub-subsection 1.6.10.1.1 Recreation Areas states:

Covered/enclosed multi-purpose areas with 18 foot ceilings must be available for use in inclement weather, if the prison is to be located in a geographic region that is above 5000 feet in elevation. Covered/enclosed exercise areas can be designed for multiple uses as long as the design and furnishings do not interfere with scheduled exercise activities. The minimum space requirements for covered/enclosed exercise areas are as follows:

- For each 600 inmates or fraction thereof, provide one softball field, with granite surface with running track, basketball court, PAR course and one volleyball court that is segregated and fenced from other general population inmates.
- 10 square feet per inmate for the maximum number of inmate expected to use the space at one time, but not less than 1,500 square feet of unencumbered space. (Special Program Units shall have no less than 75 square feet per inmate while in use by segments of the population). The minimum space requirements for outdoor exercise areas are as follows: 10 square feet per inmate for the maximum number of inmates expected to use the space at one time, but not less than 1,500 square feet of unencumbered space.

7a. What is meant by the term “covered/enclosed exercise area”? Does it mean an exercise area that is either covered or enclosed, or one that is covered and enclosed?

RESPONSE #7A - A recreation building in a geographic region over 5000 feet in elevation must be covered and enclosed.

7b. Are we correct in our understanding that a proposed complex or facility located in a geographic region that is below 5000 feet in elevation does not require a covered/enclosed exercise area?

RESPONSE #7B - Yes

7c. Are we correct in our further understanding that the gross square footage of a proposed complex or facility that neither requires nor includes a covered/enclosed exercise area must be a minimum of 240 sq ft per inmate?

RESPONSE #7C - Yes

7d. The last sentence of the introductory paragraph of subsection **1.6.10.1.1 Recreation Areas** suggests that the three bulleted paragraphs which follow set forth “the minimum space requirements for covered/enclosed exercise areas,” but only one of the three bulleted paragraphs (the second) arguably addresses internal exercise area space requirements. Could the Department please confirm that the first and third bulleted paragraphs establish the space requirements for outdoor exercise areas and that the second bulleted paragraph establishes the space requirements for covered/enclosed exercise areas?

RESPONSE #7D – Yes, this is confirmed.

8. We appreciate the discussion in the first amendment regarding the general philosophy behind Parallel Universe and “7X7X3 Correctional Environment”, however, we remain concerned that we do not have adequate information to develop the type of program that the Department is contemplating and are particularly concerned that the private vendors will be at a disadvantage without concrete examples of these programs in the ADC system. We respectfully request copies of the pertinent policies and procedures, lesson plans and any other examples of the exact functioning of these programs. (Amendment 1, pages 1-2)

RESPONSE #8 - The first amendment response provided information on what 7X3X3 is, including specific examples for each area. This is not a pre-formatted set of programs, rules and policies. Offerors shall provide those program elements in their responses for this population. 7X3X3 is a systematic way of organizing the inmate's days into three areas (work/programs; leisure and self improvement, and, family reconciliation and community betterment). These areas parallel those activities that make up civil and productive citizenship in our Arizona communities.

This 7X3X3 language is used to "organize and reinforce" the principles of parallel universe on a daily basis, so that inmates practice good/productive habits in all three areas, every day, rather than negative ones.

9. We have noted that Offerors are required to provide letters of interest from private companies who are interested in Inmate Work Contracts with Arizona Correctional Industries (ACI) (page 5, section 2.18). Will the ACI provide any leads or assistance in identifying companies who may be interested in these Inmate Work Contracts?

RESPONSE #9 - ACI will work closely with the offeror that is awarded this contract to actively provide leads and program assistance at all times.

10. Please provide as much information as possible about the Fast Track program (page 7, section 2.2.1.24); specifically, what role the Offeror is expected to play and how the identified population will be managed as opposed to other inmates.

RESPONSE #10 - The Fast Track Pilot Program in the ADC identifies inmates directly from Intake and Assessment with less than 6 months to serve and places them together in a setting to receive highly targeted re-entry attention and services. The goal is to prepare this unique population, with regard to time to serve, for successful transition home. While Fast Track is identified in the Definition Section, as it is part of the overall Arizona Plan, the Offeror is not requested to provide this service.

11. Please provide examples and additional information regarding "5X5 Assessment Results" (page 10, section 2.2.1.55)

RESPONSE #11 - The 5X5 Assessment results will be part of the automated Inmate Corrections Plan being developed by the Department. It does not have to be developed by the offeror, only used to identify, target and address criminal risk factors when it becomes operational.

12. We have noted the requirement for single bunks (page 11, section 2.2.1.56). Would the Department of Corrections consider a design which includes double bunks if ACA standards are met?

RESPONSE #12 - No

13. Please clarify the requirement for the Offeror to be a licensed security agency (page 11, section 2.4.1). This section indicates that the Offeror shall be a licensed security agency in accordance with A.R.S. §§ 32-2611 - 32-2615. However, A.R.S. § 32-2601 defines "private security guard service" as an employer who furnishes security guards for hire. Further § 32-2606 indicates that the chapter does not apply to "a company that employs security guards solely for use of and service to itself and not for others" as long as the following three requirements are met:

- Armed guards must complete 16 hours of training initially and eight hours of refresher training each year;
- Uniforms and badges must strictly conform to the standards of the Arizona Department of Public Safety;
- An affidavit must be submitted annually that attests to the company's compliance with its internal procedures that require a background investigation of each of its security guards.

This Offeror does not furnish security guards or security services to others for hire. All of our security personnel are employed solely for service at our facilities. We intend to meet the three requirements stated in A.R.S § 32-2606. Will the Department acknowledge that this Offeror is exempt from the licensing requirement as long as we comply with the three requirements of §32-2606?"

RESPONSE #13 - No. The offeror is not exempt from the licensing requirement.

14. We have noted the requirement that the facility operator comply with all Department of Corrections Written Instructions (page 12, section 2.4.2). We have also noted section 2.4.4.1 on page 12 which includes development of policies / procedures as part of the milestone chart and section 2.9.13.6 on page 24 which requires the facility operator's procedures to be accomplished 90 days prior to acceptance of the first inmate. Would the Department of Corrections consider allowing the operator to use its own policies, rather than following the Department of Corrections Written Instructions, if those policies are submitted for approval 90 days prior to acceptance of the first inmate and are in accordance with ACA standards?

RESPONSE #14 - No. The offeror's policies must reflect ADC Orders and Instructions.

15. We have noted that the facility operator shall be responsible for costs related to additional services required as a result of Department of Corrections Written Instructions: (i) new or revised Written Instructions; (ii) new Court Orders/Decrees; or (iii) new laws applicable to the Department of Corrections that are implemented after execution of this Contract shall be authorized subject to approval in accordance with the requirements of A.R.S. 41-1609.01 (page 12, section 2.4.3.2). Will there be any mechanism provided for the facility operator to be reimbursed for expenses that were not known at the time the contract was awarded?

RESPONSE #15 - Refer to Page 84, Special Terms and Conditions, Section 1.3.

16. Please clarify what is included in Plan Management (page 19, section 2.9.3.3 and page 41, section 2.13.4).

RESPONSE #16 - Plan Management is an evolution of the old Case Management Model and is most readily distinguished by its focus and emphasis on results rather than process. Thus, staff inmate contacts are outcome oriented. Bottom line, what has the inmate accomplished that was identified by his/her risk-based plan as being needed and completed? Plan Management programmatically, will, when implemented this spring, target for re-entry success; literacy sobriety and employability.

Other activities the CO 3's typically perform within this new context: Conduct custody and internal risk reviews, advocate for the inmate's plan to be addressed and completed, initiate maximum management packets, develop work and employment skill histories, develop arrest and escape histories, develop program record histories, initiate do-not-house actions, etc.

17. Please clarify what is included in Resource Center Services Delivery (page 19, section 2.9.3.6).

RESPONSE #17 - While each complex may emphasize and deliver these activities in different degrees and ways, the ADC currently finds the following activities constitute the core of Resource Center activities across Arizona Department of Corrections complexes:

*** Providing access to literacy, GED prep, information about Work-based Education training opportunities, Life Skills, Substance Abuse Prevention, Personal Health and Wellness, Victim's Rights and Re-Entry related resource materials.**

*** Providing space for studying and for volunteer and/or peer tutoring, i.e., student-to-student tutoring.**

*** Providing access to computers, software, calculators, audio and video equipment, etc. for inmates to regularly practice and reinforce what they learn in the classroom, in self-study and in approved distance learning courses.**

*** Providing mandated legal materials, forms and copying, as well as, notary and paralegal services.**

*** Providing appropriate and constructive, (for non-work hours): leisure, self development, family reconciliation and community betterment activity through access to reading materials and special presentations.**

*** Providing programs including: 1.) Book discussion groups, 2.) Contests (i.e., poetry and essay writing, trivia contests, alternative endings to books, drawing book covers, etc. 3.) Speakers (i.e., local authors, business owners, scholars, artists, inmate success story presentations, etc.) and 4.) Other special interest programs as appropriate (i.e., Father-Read/Mother-Read, language courses, resume writing, etc.)**

This list is not meant to be prescriptive or exhaustive but representative of the types of Resource Center activities "that support" evidence-based programming and reinforce strong, positive re-entry outcomes.

18. Will the Department of Corrections charge a fee for processing fingerprint cards for non-security staff (page 23, section 2.9.11.7)?

RESPONSE #18 - The ADC will not process the fingerprint cards. All cards are processed by DPS. There should be no difference between how security or non-security cards are processed. The ADC will not charge a fee however, DPS does charge a fee.

19. Please explain what is meant by "Provide a Pro-Forma Statement" (page 25, section 2.10.3.2).

RESPONSE #19 - Delete this section. Pro-Forma Statements are no longer a requirement. Section 2.10.3.2 has been amended to reflect this change.

20. Please clarify what is meant by "Inmate Accountability and Counts, to Include Inmate Programs" (page 39, section 2.12.1.2). Does this refer to count procedures for inmates while they are involved in inmate programs?

RESPONSE #20 - Yes

21. We have noted that in the event the Department of Corrections changes its computer platform, the facility operator shall change to comply with the Department of Corrections Data Management System and Technology, without cost to the Department of Corrections (page 40, section 2.13.1.5). Will there be any mechanism provided for the facility operator to be reimbursed for the additional expenses required in converting to a new computer platform?

RESPONSE #21 - Refer to Page 84, Special Terms and Conditions, Section 1.3

22. Section 2.13.15, page 49, requires the Offeror to provide the name of the telephone system vendor and a description of the system, services and rates available to ADC inmates, while section 2.13.15.1, page 49, requires the contractor to utilize the telephone system and vendor used by the Department of Corrections. Please clarify whether the Offeror can select its own vendor for inmate telephone services or is required to use the same vendor as the Department of Corrections.

RESPONSE #22 - No, the contractor is required to use the Department's vendor. Please refer to question No. 2.

23. Section 2.14.1.18.7, page 53, requires the Offeror to describe inmate medical health services for "Credentialing Handling of Daily Non-emergency Medical Requests and

Sick Call". Please confirm that "Credentialing" and "Handling of Daily Non-emergency Medical Requests and Sick Call are two separate topics.

RESPONSE #23 - Two topics are noted on this line. This section requires the offeror to describe the credentials of those responsible for the handling of the inmates non-emergency medical request. In addition it requires a description of the prisons inmate sickcall process, which identifies the credentials of the attending health care staff.

The requirement is met when a licensed staff member at the level of a LPN/LVN or above is responsible for the triage of the inmates request for services and the subsequent delivery of primary health care. This staff member shall also assume the responsibility for appropriate referrals to other disciplines within the prison health care delivery system.

24. We have noted that upon the Department of Corrections conversion to an automated or electronic Medical Files System, the facility operator shall convert to the same electronic system at no cost to the Department of Corrections (page 55, section 2.14.6.5). Please provide as much information as possible about the planned Medical File System and when the conversion is anticipated to take place. Will there be any mechanism provided for the facility operator to be reimbursed for the additional expenses required in converting to the new Medical File System?

RESPONSE #24 - "The Departments Health Services is actively pursuing the activation of an electronic medical record (EMR). We have looked at several programs, and continue to review others. If one were to be determined to be appropriate for the Department, the Department would use its female prison as a test site. Considering this, an EMR is not likely to role out until 2008."

The Response to the second part of this question is: Refer to Page 84, Special Terms and Conditions, Section 1.3

25. Please explain what is meant by "This could include subcontractors for percentage of Name of Service, (Lottery Advertising included creative development, media placement or printing services)" page 92, section 1.16.1.

RESPONSE #25 - Special instructions Section 1.16. Inclusive offeror, has been amended.

26. §2.6.8.7. states that the Department will be responsible for Inmate Classification. With this in mind, will the Department provide Booking Staff at the facility or will the inmates be classified prior to arrival?

RESPONSE #26 - Inmates are classified by the ADC prior to arrival at receiving complex/unit(s). Receiving facilities will not need to repeat this process, only use it.

27. §2.6.9. states that the Department shall provide training as identified in Specification #2 (page 109 of RFP as issued in November 2006). Could you identify the training time frames/requirements for the Health Services Administrator as well as the staff responsible for inmate medical record keeping and maintenance?

RESPONSE #27 - The Department shall offer an opportunity for training and staff development. This will facilitate an understanding of ADC health care requirements.

ADC Health Services shall provide instruction in written directives and the standards/management of the ADC Health Services inmate medical record.

The training will require up to a two day class presentation. Those health services staff members responsible for input and/or management of the medical records shall participate.

28. §2.11.7. states, in part, that “Training equivalent to that of the Department of Corrections shall be required ...” Are there specific requirements that must be met with regards to training, curricula, training hours, etc.? If yes, can they be provided?

RESPONSE #28

1. Yes. They need to follow COTA requirements

2 Yes -DO 509 outlines the training requirements. The actual curricula, lesson plans etc. will be provided to the awarded contractor after award

29. §2.13.1. states, in part, that “The facility operator shall be required to provide necessary hardware and modems to access AIMS, in accordance with Specification #3.” What type and how many lines, systems, and hardware would typically be needed to accommodate the AIMS record systems? Are terminals required in each of the respective areas (food service, inmate health services, inmate education, and if yes, how many)?

RESPONSE #29 - Computer systems should be available in most areas, but should be able to be secured from general inmate access (e.g., terminal in food service should be in an office, not on the floor in a corner somewhere). All plan management staff (e.g., staff responsible for conducting inmate plan management reviews with inmates) should have their own computers, as well as most other managers - including correctional officer supervisors (at least availability in an accessible area). Computer systems must be provided for all staff in management, as well as all staff that management has decided has a business justification for computer or network/internet access.

30. §2.13.1.1. requests that the vendor “Describe data processing services, equipment and resources intended to be available to collect the same data contained in existing Department automated information systems...” Does the Department require the vendor to replicate data collection functionality of AIMS by creating a parallel database that

duplicates much of the inmate data contained in AIMS? If so, please specify exactly which data elements the vendor is required to collect that (1) are collected in AIMS, but are not available to the vendor via download from AIMS, and (2) are required in addition to AIMS data elements.

RESPONSE #30 - The vendor is not required to develop a "parallel" automated system. The vendor is required to have appropriately configured computer systems and software, data and network security, and infrastructure, to support AIMS, Internet Access and all other agency Application Services as they exist for other Agency sites. All systems must be current and compliant with the Agency's own hardware and software system standards (see attached sample). The vendor is required to ensure that all systems and infrastructure are able to communicate and interoperate with the Agency LAN/WAN, AZNET, and other extranet vendors as applicable by Agency contract, agreement, or law.

31. §2.13.1.5. Does the Department have any plans known at this time to change its computer platform? If so, when will this change be effected and what types of platform is the Department anticipating it will use?

RESPONSE #31 - There are no platform changes in development at this time.

32. §2.13.6. Could you provide the current statistics regarding the average number of inmates currently on restricted diets?

RESPONSE #32 – See DIET ROLL UP Plan after response #78.

33. §2.13.7.4.1. Are there any statistics or estimates with regards to the number of inmates that meet the pre-GED standard?

RESPONSE #33 - In late 2003 the department eliminated the old pre-GED Standard. The WFD Bureau teachers, supervisors and managers found it to be an artificial barrier to student success. We moved the decision making back in the hands of the classroom teachers and their supervisors to decide when the student was ready to take the test. The positive results of that and other GED system innovations have been nothing less than astonishing. GED graduate numbers in the ADC doubled in the next two succeeding years and have settled just above 3,000 graduates per fiscal year for the past two years.

34. §2.14. Does the Department anticipate capping health care exposure in order to reduce or control the anticipated per diem rates? Is the Department open to this type of proposal?

RESPONSE #34 - The contractor shall assume all health care related costs.

35. §2.14.1.6. Will the Operator conduct Substance Abuse Assessments and/or Mental Health Assessments or will the Department (other than those covered under the reimbursable medical intake services)? What is the estimated percentage of inmates anticipated to be treated in these areas?

RESPONSE #35 - The ADC will perform both the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Assessments at Intake. Substance Abuse assessments will not need to be repeated by the Offeror during the inmate's period of incarceration. For those inmates assessed with a Mental Health treatment issue ongoing Mental Health assessments are required annually or would be conducted more often as a function of a specifically identified Mental Health need by the receiving complex.. Approximately 73% of the ADC inmate population are identified by assessment as requiring addiction treatment during their period of incarceration and between 16-18 % of the inmate population requires periodic or ongoing Mental Health re-assessment and/or treatment services.

36. §2.15.19.1. offerors to provide "a set of schematic design documents of the facility that reflect the proposed configuration of the prison." Is it necessary to provide six sets of these drawings, or may an offeror submit a single set (in hard copy) along with the electronic version (on 6 CDs)?

RESPONSE #36 - In lieu of a "set" please provide One (1) Full size set of schematic design documents of the facility, Five (5) each 50% reduced sets and one (1) CD. Section 2.15.19.1 has been amended to reflect this change.

37. §2.16.2. Is the Operator responsible for transportation and security to, from, and during off-site work assignments? If yes, is this reimbursable separate of the per diem or shall it be included in the per diem?

RESPONSE #37

1. Yes, the facility Operator is responsible for transportation to and from off-site work assignments

2. No, this is not reimbursable.

38. §2.16.3. Can utility fees due from inmates "who possess at least one major electrical appliance" be deducted via the Inmate Trust Account similar to medical treatment costs?

RESPONSE #38 - Please follow the process in section 2.16.3.

39. Please confirm the applicability of the three (3) "SPECIFICATION " sections and the sixteen (16) ATTACHMENTS, as originally issued, and advise if they have been revised in any way.

RESPONSE #39 - No amendments referencing revisions of attachments have been processed.

40. Is Attachment #11 ("INTAKE HEALTH SCREENING SERVICES COSTS") to be completed by the Offeror and submitted with the Proposal?

RESPONSE #40 - The attachment is addressed in section 2.14.7. The Department offers the contractor an opportunity to request reimbursement for ADC intake medical screening services not provided by the ADC at the inmates intake processing. The offeror shall provide current approved costs associated with the intake process as identified in attachment #11. These costs are subject to an annual review and possible adjustment.

41. Amendment 1, Page 56, Section 2.15.2: Section 1.5.10 of the Physical Plant Standards; Waste Water System. There is a requirement for the facility to be designed to handle 160 gallons per inmate per day. Is this average daily flow conditions or a peak flow rate? Either way, what peaking factor is to be used for design?

RESPONSE #41

1. The 160 gallons per day /inmate is an average daily flow.

2. Measured peak flows have varied from 3 to 5 average hourly flow.

42. Amendment 1, Page 56, Section 2.15.2: Section 2.1.4 of the Physical Plant Standards; Facility Size Requirements. The maximum inmate population of a Minimum Custody facility (or unit if part of a complex) is 1200 beds. Would the State or Department consider a proposal to increase the unit size to 1,600 beds if all of the required area standards including outdoor recreation are met?

RESPONSE #42 – Offerors should propose their best solutions in accordance with the requirements in the solicitation.

43. Amendment 1, Page 56, Section 2.15.2: Section 2.1.4 of the Physical Plant Standards; Facility Size Requirements. A 1200 Bed unit is to be designed to divide the recreation area into two 600 inmate yards. Would the State or Department consider a proposal to increase the unit size to two 800 bed yards as long as all of the area and required space functions are provided in accordance with the Physical Plant Standards?

RESPONSE #43 - Offerors should propose their best solutions in accordance with the requirements in the solicitation.

44. Amendment 1, Page 56, Section 2.15.2: Section 1.4.1.2 of the Physical Plant Standards;
Facility Gross Square Footage. This section states, “the minimum gross square footage of the entire complex or facility based on required building square footages shall be a minimum of 240 SQ FT. or 250 SQ. FT. in an enclosed recreation building as required per Section 1.6.10 or the vendor is to provide documentation on out sourced services and provide justification for the reduction of square footages. Reductions in square footages are to be itemized and shall be reviewed/approved by an ADC review panel and must be approved by the Director.” Is it the intent of Section 1.4.1.2 to provide a total of 240 SQ. FT. per inmate including all building square footages plus the outdoor recreation space? If the outdoor recreation space is not included in the total square footage calculation, would it be considered as part of that calculation if the outdoor recreation space is covered? If not, would the State accept a proposal that does not provide the 240 SQ FT per inmate per Section 1.4.1.2 as long as all of the required spaces for inmate housing, program functions, dining, kitchen, etc. requirements are met?

RESPONSE #44

- 1. Section 1.4.1.2 is based on building square footage only.**
- 2. No.**
- 3. No.**

45. Amendment 1, Page 56, Section 2.15.2: Section 7.1.2 of the Physical Plant Standards; Building Codes. There are a number of building codes listed. Depending on where a specific project is located the Authority having jurisdiction may have a different code adopted. Below this section there is a statement with an asterisk that states "Design Reviews will conform to local City and county Codes of Jurisdiction". Is the asterisk intended to address the Building Code Section?

RESPONSE #45 - Yes

46. Amendment 1, Page 56, Section 2.15.2: Section 1.5.1.4.3.1 of the Physical Plant Standards.
There is an apparent discrepancy with regards to smoke control. Paragraph 1.5.1.4.3.1 requires

smoke control in all "I" occupancy buildings. However, paragraph 1.5.1.6.2 says that smoke control is required in the housing buildings. If the building is Level 1 and the building is not required to be rated as an "I" occupancy can the smoke control system be eliminated?

RESPONSE #46 - Yes

47. Amendment 1, Page 56, Section 2.15.2: Physical Plant Standards. If the facility is going to be expanded adjacent to an existing facility and the portions are going to become two new separate yards, will we be required to bring all services of the existing (non compliant with current standards) areas up to the same standards as the new physical plant standards or just provide the new facilities to the PPS? Example - site lighting for the new facility as opposed to the whole campus.

RESPONSE #47 - No. The new facility must meet the standards, not the existing facility.

48. Amendment 1, Page 56, Section 2.15.2: Sections 1.5.1.1.2 and 1.5.1.1.3 of the Physical Plant Standards. Paragraphs 1.5.1.1.2 and 1.5.1.1.3 could possibly conflict with one another. Should we assume that 1.5.1.1.2 concerning security and safety will take precedence in this situation?

RESPONSE #48 - Yes

49. Amendment 1, Page 56, Section 2.15.2: Section 1.5.1.3.2.2 of the Physical Plant Standards.
There is a requirement listed for the Close and Maximum custody areas to be served by evaporative cooling. Can these areas be served by mechanical cooling (refrigeration) if the new AC system is to be provided at a lower cost than the new evaporative cooled system? This will save on building wear and tear and moisture migration issues. It would be expected that the operational space set points would remain at not less than the higher inmate area set point of 84 degrees.

RESPONSE #49 - Yes

50. Amendment 1, Page 56, Section 2.15.2: Physical Plant Standards. The listed minimum exhaust for cells is listed as 100 CFM. This seems high for the space unless needed by code. IMC would require 75 CFM for the count of the toilet fixture. If there were double bunking then there is only a need for about 40 CFM of ventilation. This amount can be provided but it seems that it

will increase the operating costs of the facility unnecessarily if this is not an absolute minimum value requirement.

RESPONSE #50 - If air conditioning is being installed in lieu of evaporative cooling 75 CFM is the minimum for each toilet fixture.

51. Amendment 1, Page 56, Section 2.15.2: Section 1.5.1.4.3.2 of the Physical Plant Standards.

There is a discrepancy between the smoke control requirements of the various codes listed,

between the codes and standards listed and what is identified as a process in paragraph 1.5.1.4.3.2. Also there are no minimum air flow amounts or pressure differentials listed in 1.5.1.4.3.2. Please provide further clarification of air exchanges and/or what the operational expectations are for this requirement.

RESPONSE #51 - The question references a conflict between codes and standards but does not provide any specific facts or cite the code or standards that are felt to be in conflict.

Paragraph 1.5.1.4.3.2 of the PPS-Technical manual state that if a zone detects smoke, the smoke exhaust system is started and 100% of the exhaust is removed from the zone in detection. The AHU adjusts its operation in that zone and provides 100% OUTSIDE AIR. This removes smoke, while inmates are locked in their cell, until the fire is suppressed and smoke is removed. If smoke is detected in the supply duct, the AHU is to be shut down. Smoke exhaust is required for "I" occupancy housing and cell buildings.

The applicable code will define air flow requirements and/or pressure differentials and air exchange requirements. The site location the facility is to be constructed in will determine which codes are to be used. Please refer to the Arizona Revised Statutes, ARS 34-461 for applicability of Local Codes, exceptions, and definition.

52. AD8-012 - Amendments and Questions and Responses

8. Section 2.15.16 - Facility for special management inmates - Could more information be provided on this requirement? What standard should be applied when designing these cells? Are double cells acceptable? Indoor/outdoor recreation required? Sight/sound separated from other inmates? And the number of beds required based on 250-bed increments?

RESPONSE #52

1. Refer to section 1.6.19 DETENTION in the Physical Plant Standards Technical Manual appendix figure 6 and 7 et. al.

2. No, Single cells are required

3. Yes, both outdoor and indoor recreation are required

4. No.

5. 250 bed increments is not ADC standards, refer to section 1.6.19.1.1 of the Physical Plant Standards Technical Manual

53 AD8-012 - Amendments and Questions and Responses

Response: Section 2.15.16 references administrative office space Section 2.15.12 outlines the type of construction required to house Special Management Inmates is identical to paragraph 1.6.19 DETENTION as described in the Technical Manual - Physical Plant Standards. Outside recreation that is out of sight of the general population is preferred but indoor space is acceptable. The total bed requirement is 4% minimum of the general population to be housed in the unit and the unit size minimum as defined in RFP section 2.1.2. The definition requires single cells.

The clarification to this question indicates that an indoor exercise area is acceptable in lieu of an outdoor exercise area. This is contrary to case law. The courts agree that all inmates are entitled to some outdoor exercise but have not established a bright line rule mandating the specific minimum number of outdoor hours which are required. See *Spain v. Procnier*, 600 F.2d 189 (9th cir. 1979) and *Gonzales v. Stewart*, 2006 WL 2460634 (2006). Given prevailing case law, we assume the intent of the clarification is to state that indoor exercise, without an outdoor area, is not sufficient. Please confirm.

RESPONSE #53

1. Yes that is correct

2. Provide sufficient secure outdoor recreation space consistent with Physical Plant Standards Technical Manual. sections 1.6.10, 1.6.19.1, and 1.6.19.1.4

54. We did not receive an Amendment cover sheet that would need to be executed by us and included in our proposal submission. How should we deal with this? We ask this because we are in another solicitation process with another AZ agency and we receive Amendment Cover sheets for execution and inclusion. Please advise what we need to do regarding this matter.

RESPONSE #54 - Solicitation has been amended – refer to Offer and Acceptance – Certification.

55. Several questions regarding page numbering, headers, etc.

* The Table of Contents indicates there are a total of 117 pages in the document you distributed; however, the header (upper right hand corner) indicates 128 total pages.

* Pages 1 - 3 of the document indicate a total of "128" pages.

* Page 4 indicates "117" total pages.

- Page 4 of 117 through Page 65 of 117 has the header "1.

RESPONSE #55 - Corrected

56. Background and Conflict of Interest Determination"

- * Page 66 states there are now "128" total pages.

RESPONSE #56 - Corrected

57. The last section in the package is the "Budget Narrative", which indicates pages 99 of 128 through page 102 of 128.

- * There is no pages 103 - 128 of 128 pages.

RESPONSE #57 - Corrected.

58. Page 60, Section 2.15.2 Will the State accept two 800 bed minimum custody yards in lieu of two 600 bed minimum yards?

RESPONSE #58 - Offerors should propose their best solutions in accordance with the requirements in the solicitation.

59. In response to Question #24 in the amendments to solicitation No AD8-012, it is stated that offerors should contact the Arizona Department of Corrections for information concerning the cost to the State for providing "functional Services."

- a. Is it appropriate for each offeror to contact the ADC independently to request the information?
- b. In evaluation each proposal against A.R.S 41-1609.01 G how will the ADOA evaluate each offer if the cost to the State is not available?

RESPONSE #59

a. It is the responsibility of each offeror to conduct their due diligence and collect information they consider pertinent to their response.

b. See Special Instructions for evaluation criteria. Specific questions relating to the evaluation process are beyond the scope of questions in this phase of the solicitation.

60. Page 72, Section 3.1: It is unclear in the RFP as to the form desired for the proposal due to the format of the RFP. All pages of the RFP have a box at the top with the reference to the section, i.e. Table of Contents, Scope of Work, etc.; ADOA address; document type and a line for the offeror. Is the RFP and accompanying forms to be used as the form for responding to the RFP? Should the offeror complete the information in the box at the top of each page?

RESPONSE #60 - Offerors do not have to complete the information at the top of each page

61. We have noted that Amendment 1, when printed in the format in which it was received, stops at page 102 of 128. We also noted that the page numbering changes from page 3 of 128 to page 4 of 117 and from page 65 of 117 to page 66 of 128. Also, Specifications 1-3 and Attachments 1-16 are not included in Amendment 1. Please confirm that it is the State's intention that Amendment 1, as described above, and Specifications 1-3 and Attachments 1-16 constitute the entire solicitation. Please note that the page numbers cited in our subsequent questions refer to the format for Amendment 1 as described above.

RESPONSE #61 - Corrected.

62. It appears part of a sentence was inadvertently omitted. Please finish the sentence "A medium fence adds electronic detection can." (page 11, section 2.2.1.56)

RESPONSE #62 - Section 2.2.1.56 has been amended to add:

"If a medium fence is offered as an option, it shall add an electronic detection system in accordance with the PPS-Tech manual '7.3.2-Figure 2 - Medium Custody Fence' and the requirements set forth in paragraph 3.1.5.1.2 - Detection Systems and paragraph 3.1.5.1.3 -Perimeter and Area Lighting."

63. §1.2.1 With regards to submission requirements, do you require:

One original, plus Six hard copies and Six discs;

OR

One original plus EITHER Six hard copies or Six discs?

RESPONSE #63 - One original, plus Six hard copies and Six discs.

64. The Fee Schedule, page 99 of the revised RFP, states: "Offeror may submit a proposal for 3000 beds to include 2000 male beds and 1000 female beds or any portion thereof but not less than 500 beds each for male or female inmates."

Is it the intent of the Department to require each offeror to submit a proposal that includes **both** 500 male beds and 500 female beds, or is it the intent that an offeror can propose **either** 500 male or 500 female beds as a minimum?

RESPONSE #64 - Either 500 male or 500 female beds as a minimum

65. The electronic version of the RFP downloadable from: <http://www.azspo.az.gov/SolicitationInfo/AD8012.pdf> as of January 8, 2007, appears to be truncated, ending at "Page 107 of 128" according to the document header, and not containing "Specifications [page 92]"; or "Attachments [page 98]".

RESPONSE #65 - Corrected

66. 1.0 Special Terms and Conditions

1.6 Insurance

Arizona Department of Corrections participates in the state's Risk Management program per law. We assume Arizona Department of Corrections participation in the state's Risk Management program meets this requirement. In the event that it does not, we assume the Arizona Department of Administration will grant us an exception to the requirements of 1.6; kindly confirm.

RESPONSE #66 - The State Risk Management program is acceptable if it meets or exceeds the limits and requirements set forth in the solicitation. If the Department of Corrections submits a proposal, the Department will have to state and document the cost of the insurance as required on the fee schedule.

67. 1.0 Special Terms and Conditions

1.7 Indemnification Clause

Arizona Department of Corrections participates in the state's Risk Management program per law. We assume Arizona Department of Corrections participation in the state's Risk Management program meets this requirement. In the event that it does not, we assume the Arizona Department of Administration will grant us an exception to the requirements of 1.7; kindly confirm.

RESPONSE #67 - The State Risk Management program is acceptable if it meets or exceeds the limits and requirements set forth in the solicitation.

68. 1.0 Special Terms and Conditions

1.11.1 Special Terms and Conditions

The Contractor is an independent Contractor and will not, under any circumstance, be considered an employee, servant or agent of the State, nor will the employees, servants or agents of the Contractor be considered employees of the State.

Pursuant to A.R.S Title 41, the Arizona Department of Corrections is a state agency. Given this status, we assume the Arizona Department of Administration will grant us an exception to the requirements of 1.11.1; kindly confirm.

RESPONSE #68 - The Department of Corrections is granted an exception to this requirement.

69. 2.0 Scope of Work

2.2.1.47 Security Officer An employee of the Offeror to whom a “Registration Certificate” has been issued by DPS in accordance with A.R.S Title 32, Chapter 26, Article 3, if applicable. Security Officers include Major, Captain, Lieutenant, Sergeant, and all Correctional Officers.

Pursuant to ARS 41-1822 (B) and ACC Title 13, Article 2, R13-4 201 through 208, Arizona’s Peace Officer Standards Training (POST) Board establishes the requirements for certification of the Arizona Department of Corrections’ correctional officers. Arizona’s POST Board standards meet and exceed the requirements for a “Registration Certificate” for security officers as set forth in A.R.S Title 32, Chapter 26, Article 3. Given these provisions in law we assume the Arizona Department of Administration will grant us an exception to the requirements of 2.2.1.47; kindly confirm.

RESPONSE #69 - The Department of Corrections is not granted an exception to this requirement.

70. 2.0 Scope of Work

2.4.1 The Offeror shall be a licensed security agency.

The Arizona Department of Corrections has been mandated with the authority and obligation to oversee the implementation, operation and policies of the Arizona State prison system pursuant to A.R.S Title 41. These statutory responsibilities meet and exceed the requirements to become a licensed security agency thus we assume the Arizona Department of Administration will grant us an exception to the requirements of 2.4.1; kindly confirm.

RESPONSE #70 - The Department of Corrections is not granted an exception to this requirement.

71. 2.0 Scope of Work

2.8.2 Past Performance. Offerors are required to provide three (3) references relative to work performed by the Offeror. Each identified contact person must have first hand knowledge regarding the specific work of the Offeror. Offerors shall complete Attachment #2, References For Other Secure Facilities, to provide required information regarding references.

The Arizona Department of Corrections is a state agency, mandated by statute to operate the Arizona state prison system on behalf of the taxpayers of the state. The Arizona Department of Corrections does not perform “work” as anticipated by the RFP and as a result, there are no business references. We assume the Arizona Department of Administration will grant us an exception to the requirements of 2.8.2; kindly confirm.

RESPONSE #71 - The Department of Corrections is granted an exception to this requirement.

72. 2.0 Scope of Work

2.9.7.3 Private Prison Assumption and/or Emergency Operations. Offerors must provide for Department of Corrections' approval detailed plans which the Department of Corrections may use to assume control of the secure private prison. Detailed plans shall include, but are not limited to the following incidents or occurrences:

2.9.7.3.1 A plan identifying the process of transferring the private prison operations from the private prison contractor to the Department of corrections upon termination of the Contract. The Contract may be terminated as delineated in this document.

2.9.7.3.2 Event(s) of noncompliance or violation of Contract terms and conditions that may present serious threat to the safety, health or security of inmates, employees, or the public.

2.9.7.3.3 A plan identifying the process for the assumption of private prison operations by the Department of corrections in the event of bankruptcy or financial insolvency of the Offeror.

2.9.7.3.4 An incident management emergency plan addressing inmate disturbances, employee work stoppages, strikes, or other serious events in accordance with the Department of corrections of corrections Order relating to the significant incidents.

The Arizona Department of Corrections is funded by the state and has the statutory duty to assume responsibility for a private prison facility when warranted. Given this inherent statutory responsibility, we assume the Arizona Department of Administration will grant us an exception to the requirements of 2.9.7.3.2, 2.9.7.3.3, 2.9.7.3.4; kindly confirm.

RESPONSE #72 - The Department of Corrections is granted an exception to this requirement.

73. 2.0 Scope of Work

2.9.10.3 Personnel hired for the positions of Major, Captain, Lieutenant, Sergeant and Correctional Officers shall be registered by the Department of Public Safety as Security Guards (officers), in accordance with the requirements of A.R.S. title 32, Chapter 23, Article 3, prior to initiation of services.

Pursuant to ARS 41-1822 (B) and ACC Title 13, Article 2, R13-4 201 through 208, Arizona's Peace Officer Standards Training (POST) Board establishes the requirements for certification of the department's correctional officers. Arizona's POST Board standards meet and exceed the requirements for a "Registration Certificate" for security officers as set forth in A.R.S Title 32, Chapter 26, Article 3. As such, we assume the Arizona Department of Administration will grant us an exception to the requirements of 2.9.10.3; kindly confirm.

RESPONSE #73 - The Department of Corrections is not granted an exception to this requirement.

74. 2.0 Scope of Work

2.9.10.7 The facility operator's failure to fill a position that has remained vacant for longer than forty-five (45) days may constitute an Event of Default. An offset (reducing an invoice) for the costs associated with the position(s)/post(s) shall be imposed by the Department of Corrections in the amount of mean salary and full cost of benefits of the vacant position(s) for each day beyond the 45th day that the position remains vacant. The contractor shall provide the Department of Corrections' Monitor with a current list of the salary and benefits for each of the contractor's and subcontractor's staff positions. This list shall be updated annually or upon any adjustment to any position.

Unlike private prison providers which receive per diem payments in full absent specific action by the Arizona Department of Corrections when a private prison provider fails to comply with its contractual conditions, the department is required to revert its unspent personnel services funds and other appropriated funds to the General Fund unless otherwise expressly directed by the legislature. As such, the requirements of 2.9.10.7 are not applicable to the ADC. We assume the Arizona Department of Administration will grant us an exception to the requirements of 2.9.10.7; kindly confirm.

RESPONSE #74 - The Department of Corrections is not granted an exception to this requirement.

75. 2.0 Scope of Work

2.9.11.3.1 If applicable, licensure shall be in accordance with the requirements of A.R.S. Title 32, Chapter 26, Articles 2, 3 and 4 and A.R.S. 32-2611 through 32-2637.

The Arizona Department of Corrections was created and mandated with the authority and obligation to oversee the implementation, operation and policies of the Arizona State prison system pursuant to A.R.S Title 41. These statutory responsibilities meet and exceed the requirements of A.R.S. Title 32, Chapter 26, Articles 2, 3 and 4 and A.R.S. 32-2611 through 32-2637. As such, the requirements of 2.9.11.3.1 are not applicable to the ADC. We assume the Arizona Department of Administration will grant us an exception to the requirements of 2.9.11.3.1; kindly confirm.

RESPONSE #75 - The Department of Corrections is not granted an exception to this requirement.

76. 2.0 Scope of Work

2.10.3 In accordance with A.R.S. 41-1609.1 Offerors are required to submit Audited Financial Statements for the last five (5) years or for the number of years the Offeror's firm has been in existence, if less than 5 years.

The Arizona Department of Corrections is state agency not a business thus does not produce financial statements. As a state agency, the Arizona Department of Corrections is included in the state's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR). The department believes the CAFR meets the requirements of 2.10.3. We assume the Arizona Department of Administration will grant us an exception to the requirements of 2.10.3; kindly confirm.

RESPONSE #76 - The Comprehensive Annual Financial Report is an acceptable substitute. The Department of Corrections is granted an exception to this requirement.

77. 2.0 Scope of Work

2.10.4 The Offeror shall provide annually the following Financial Statements:

2.10.4.1 Two copies of audited corporation Financial Statements. Offeror shall state the date in their proposal response that the statements will be provided to the Department of Corrections Administrator of Contract Beds on an annual basis.

2.10.4.2 Two copies of audited Financial Statements specific to the Contract facility are required annually. Offeror shall state the date in their proposal response that the statements will be provided to the Department of Corrections Administrator of Contract Beds on an annual basis.

As noted above, the Arizona Department of Corrections is a state agency not a business thus does not produce financial statements. As a state agency however, the department is included in the state's Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR), which meets the requirements identified in 2.10.4 through 2.10.4.2. 2. If not, we assume the Arizona Department of Administration will grant us an exception to the requirements of 2.10.4 through 2.10.4.2; kindly confirm.

RESPONSE #77 - The Comprehensive Annual Financial Report is an acceptable substitute. The Department of Corrections is granted an exception to this requirement.

Section 2.10.4 has been changed to:

2.10.4 The Offeror shall provide annually the following Financial Statements:

2.10.4.1 Two copies of corporation Financial Statements. Offeror shall state the date in their proposal response that the statements will be provided to the Department of Corrections Administrator of Contract Beds on an annual basis.

2.10.4.2 Two copies of Financial Statements specific to the Contract facility are required annually. Offeror shall state the date in their proposal response that the statements will be provided to the Department of Corrections Administrator of Contract Beds on an annual basis.

2.10.4.3 The State reserves the right to request audited Financial Statements.

78. SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS TO OFFERORS

1.7 Evaluations

1.7.1.1 The Arizona Department of Corrections is a state agency whose funding is provided for in state statute. As noted above, the department does not produce financial statements, revenues or sales. However, the CAFR and the state's bond rating meet and exceed the requirements of 1.7.1.1. If not, we assume the Arizona Department of Administration will grant us an exception to the requirements of 1.7.1.1; kindly confirm.

RESPONSE #78 - The Comprehensive Annual Financial Report is an acceptable substitute. The Department of Corrections is granted an exception to this requirement.

MONTH Dec-06
DM: David Thumma

DM: David Thumma		STANDARD DIETS																	NON STANDARD DIETS									
		Total Pop 12/31/06	Dental or Mechanical Soft	Renal/ Hepatic	Cardiac Lowfat Lowsalt	Enhanced or Pregnancy	Diabetic with Snack	Diabetic without snack	Hypoglycemic	Low Residue	No Soy/ No Bean	No Gluten	Allergy Alternative	Ovo Lacto Vegetarian	Lacto Vegetarian	Kosher	Clear	Full Liquid	Full Liquid High Pro.	Enhanced Plus 1 Resource	Prescription on Snack	Chemo Diet	Allergic to Beef & Milk	No Mayonnaise	No Pork or Gelatin	Dysphagia	Total	Percent of Total
7697 ASPC - Yuma		2407	4		22	1		13	8		2	2	63	15	5			1		2						138	0.057	
7712 ASPC - Apache		431	3		3		2	6					5						1							20	0.046	
12322 ASPC - Lewis		4904	78	34	125	7	42	66	27	1	2	14	237	20	29			1	5	1						689	0.140	
7688 ASPC - Perryville		3260	20	4	27	59	35	10	18			19	17	4	10				2	1						226	0.069	
9933 ASPC - Phoenix		1594	4		20	6		32					16		6											84	0.053	
3168 ASPC - Winslow		1453	9		15		8	15	6			6	22		2			2								85	0.058	
SUBTOTAL		14049	118	38	212	73	87	142	59	1	0	4	41	360	39	52	0	0	2	7	6	1	0	0	0	0	1242	0.088

DM: Mark Horneffer

DM: Mark Horneffer	STANDARD DIETS																		NON STANDARD DIETS								
	Total Pop 12/31/06	Dental or Mechanical Soft	Renal/ Hepatic	Cardiac Lowfat Lowsalt	Enhanced or Pregnancy	Diabetic with Snack	Diabetic without snack	Hypoglycemic	Low Residue	No Soy/ No Bean	No Gluten	Allergy Alternative	Ovo Lacto Vegetarian	Lacto Vegetarian	Kosher	Clear	Full Liquid	Full Liquid High Pro.	Enhanced Plus 1 Resource	Prescription on Snack	Pureed	Chronic Dysphagia	No Processed Meat		Total	Percent of Total	
8987 ASPC - Douglas	2565	12	1	51				35			1	2	17	7											126	0.049	
7694 ASPC - Eyman	4775	36	3	124	69	84	48	78	10		2	20	236	128	93				20	10	1				1	963	0.202
7720 ASPC - Florence	3867	45	6	90	42	76	82	37	3	1	2	6	197	20	81				35	8	1	1				733	0.190
9935 ASPC - Ft. Grant	857	3		20	4	6	6	2				5	3	2									1			52	0.061
9940 ASPC - Globe	348	2		3									1		1											7	0.020
9938 ASPC - Safford	1033	1		23	3	5	13	2				5	10										1			63	0.061
8991 ASPC - Tucson	3800	46	16	70	21	80	23	25	1	2	1	6	111	12	84		2	1	22							523	0.138
SUBTOTAL	17245	145	26	381	139	251	172	179	14	3	6	44	575	169	259	0	2	1	77	18	2	1	2	0	1	2467	0.143
GRAND TOTAL	31294	263	64	593	212	338	314	238	15	3	10	85	935	208	311	0	2	3	84	24	3	1	2	0	1	3709	0.119

MONTH Dec-06
DM: David Thumma

DM: David Thumma

	Total Pop 12/31/06	STANDARD DIETS																	NON STANDARD DIETS								
		Dental or Mechanical Soft	Renal/ Hepatic	Cardiac Lowfat Lowsalt	Enhanced or Pregnancy	Diabetic with Snack	Diabetic without snack	Hypoglycemic	Low Residue	No Soy/ No Bean	No Gluten	Allergy Alternative	Ovo Lacto Vegetarian	Lacto Vegetarian	Kosher	Clear	Full Liquid	Full Liquid High Pro.	Enhanced Plus 1 Resource	No Added Spices						Percent of Total	
12323 ASPC - SWRJCC																									0		
12321 ASPC - ACTPC	74		4	4	1	4	3				1	3	1			1			1				1	1		25	0.338
SUBTOTAL	74	0	4	4	1	4	3	0	0	0	1	3	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	25	0.338

DM: Mark Horneffer

DM: Mark Horneffer	Total Pop 12/31/06	STANDARD DIETS																	NON STANDARD DIETS								
		Dental or Mechanical Soft	Renal/ Hepatic	Cardiac Lowfat Lowsalt	Enhanced or Pregnancy	Diabetic with Snack	Diabetic without snack	Hypoglycemic	Low Residue	No Soy/ No Bean	No Gluten	Allergy Alternative	Ovo Lacto Vegetarian	Lacto Vegetarian	Kosher	Clear	Full Liquid	Full Liquid High Pro.	Enhanced Plus 1 Resource					Total	Percent of Total		
9106 MTC - Marana	495	4		10			3	4		1		1	2							1				26	0.053		
13596 MTC - Kingman	1465	5	2	28	15	33	2	17				8	63	11	8									192	0.131		
SUBTOTAL	1960	9	2	38	15	33	5	21	0	1	0	9	65	11	8	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	218	0.111	
GRAND TOTAL	2034	9	6	42	16	37	8	21	0	1	1	12	66	11	8	1	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	0	243	0.119